

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/130,041	08/06/1998	H. CRAIG DEES	PHO105	5652
7590 04/21/2005			EXAMINER	
COOK MCFARRON AND MANZO 200 W ADAMS STREET			BARRETT, THOMAS C	
SUITE 2850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL 60606			3738	

DATE MAILED: 04/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		e	,			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/130,041	DEES ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thomas C. Barrett	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	/ IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MOI	NTH(S) FROM				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period where the second of the secon	36(a). In no event, however, may a replowithin the statutory minimum of thirty (in the statutory minimum of thirty (in the statutory minimum of thirty (in the statutory minimum of the statutory).	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timel IS from the mailing date of this o				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Ja</u>	nuary 2005.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	·	· ·	e ments is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39 and 65-68</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 5,19,29,30,32-34,70 and 87 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-18,20-28,31,35-39,65,66,68,69 and 71-86</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
•	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached C	Office Action or form P1	ГО-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>05-01,01-05</u>. 		Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PT0	O-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Claims 1-28, 31-33, 35-39, 65-66, 68-69, and 71-86 in the reply filed on January 6, 2005 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Claims 29-30, 34, and 70 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. The Examiner also withdraws claims 5, 19 and 32-33 as being directed towards an nonelected species.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 6-7, 10-18, 20-22, 29-31, 35-38, 65-66, 68-69, 71-74, 77-81, and 83-86 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Williams et al. (5,576,013). Williams et al. discloses a method for treatment of disease, including vessels of the circulatory system, said method comprising the steps of: applying Rose Bengal and a chelator to diseased tissue to form a treatment zone (col. 5, line 7-col. 6, line 30); and applying light at 550 nm to said treatment zone to activate agent

Art Unit: 3738

associated with said tissue, wherein said light penetrates said treatment zone while minimizing activation of said agent outside said treatment zone.

Claims 1-4, 6-18, 20-22, 29-31, 35-38, 65-66, 68-69, 71-74, 77-81, and 83-86 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kolobanov et al. (4,973,848). Kolobanov et al. discloses a method for treatment of diseased tissue, said method comprising the steps of: applying Rose Bengal (col. 4, lines 16-27) to diseased tissue form a treatment zone; and applying light at 550 nm to said treatment zone to activate agent associated with said tissue, wherein said light penetrates said treatment zone while minimizing activation of said agent outside said treatment zone.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 23-28, 34 and 82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams et al. (5,576,013) in view of the admission of the present specification. Williams et al. discloses a method for treatment of diseased tissue, e.g. gastrointestinal tissue, however Williams et al. fails to disclose the use of a balloon or catheter. The specification of the present application admits "Barrett's esophagus is a perfect example of a superficial disease that is an attractive candidate for PDT as it occurs in a location that is difficult to access via conventional surgical means but is

readily accessible using endoscopic catheters" The specification further admits that the use of a balloon and catheter is a "common method" (pages 5-6). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of the use of a balloon and catheter, as admitted by the Applicant, to a method for treatment of diseased tissue as per Williams et al., the motivation to combine being it is an "attractive" method for disease treatments that are difficult to access. Please note that it would be obvious to use either a compliant or non-complaint balloon, as their use is not patentably distinct from one another as admitted by the applicant in the response of January 6, 2005.

Please note that the present application has a priority date of August 6, 1998 because of the "means for purging". Purging in the above cited prior art is at least inherently "purged" and "heated" systemically by the body.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas C. Barrett whose telephone number is (571) 272-4746. The examiner can normally be reached Tuesday-Friday between 9:00 A.M. and 6:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4754. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/130,041 Page 5

Art Unit: 3738

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas Barrett

Examiner

Art Unit: 3738